



SPRING 2012 REFORM BAROMETER - NORWAY

				Level			Rank		<u>Gap</u>		Areas of Action
			2010	2011	Change	2010	2011	change	EU top 5	Gap ¹	
	1	GDP per capita (Purchasing Power Parity- PPP)	43690	44875	2,7%	2	2	0	NL AT IE SE DK	40%	
	2	GDP per capita (€at 2000 prices)	51181	51796	1,2%	1	1	0	DK IE SE NL AT	48%	
	3	Labour productivity per hour worked (PPP)	58,1	59,6	2,6%	2	2	0	NL BE FR IE DE	32%	
	4	Labour productivity per person employed (PPP)	82153	84969	3,4%	2	2	0	IE BE AT FR NL	23%	
ar I	5	Private investment (excluding non residential, %GDP)	3,5	3,8	0,3	24	23	1	SK AT BE IT CZ	-4,6	
Pillar	6	Industrial activity (% total value added)	32,6	31,5	-1,1	2	3	-1	SK CZ IE RO HU	1,1	
	7	R&D expenditure (% GDP) *	1,8	1,7	-0,1	15	16	-1	FI SE DK DE AT	-1,5	
	8	Operating surplus (% total value added)	51,2	50,7	-0,5	8	9	-1	BG GR RO LT IE	-4,4	
	9	Current Account (% GDP)	12,4	9,3	-3,1	2	2	0	SE DK NL LU DE	3,6	
	10	Export market share (gain from 2000)	-23,0	-25,3	-2,3	30	30	0	LT RO HU BG CZ	-88,5	
ar II	11	Net export contribution to GDP	-3,4	-1,5	1,9	32	31	1	PT IE GR SK HU	-4,6	
Pillar	12	Relative yearly gain in global trade share (% total trade)	-0,09	-0,03	0,1	23	21	2	EE LT LV BG CZ	-0,14	
	13	Relative unit labour cost (gain from 2000)	26,6	26,8	0,2	27	26	1	DE SE AT PL GR	33,8	
	14	High-tech exports (% total exports) **	3,1	4,0	0,9	31	30	1	MT LU HU IE CY	-26,0	
	15	Employment rate (% working age population)	75,3	75,0	-0,3	1	2	-1	AT NL LU DE CY	4,6	
	16	Employment rate 15-24 (% population 15-24)	51,4	49,8	-1,6	6	6	0	NL DK AT DE UK	-3,5	
I≡	17	Employment rate 55-64 (% population 55-64)	68,6	68,9	0,3	3	3	0	SE DE DK UK FI	8,3	
Pillar	18	Annual hours worked (per capita)	1415	1427	0,8%	29	29	0	PL PT CZ GR HU	-28%	
P	19	Labour participation (% working age population)	80,3	79,7	-0,6	6	6	0	SE DK NL PT DE	0,0	
	20	Working age population (as % total population)	66,2	66,2	0,0	25	24	1	SK PL CY LT RO	0,9	
	21	Unemployment rate (% labour force)	4,0	3,7	-0,3	1	2	-1	AT NL LU DK MT	-1,7	
	22	Gross debt - general government (% GDP)	44,0	40,9	-3,1	11	8	3	EE BG LU RO SE	18,3	
	23	Net debt - general government (% GDP)*	163,2	171,3	8,1	1	1	0	EE DK BG RO SE	165,8	
≥	24	Government budget balance (% GDP)	10,6	12,8	2,2	1	1	0	HU SE EE LU FI	14,2	
Pillar	25	Primary balance (% GDP)	11,9	14,0	2,1	1	1	0	HU SE DE EE IT	11,6	
Ā	26	Tax burden (% GDP)	42,4	43,2	0,0	26	27	-1	BG LT LV RO SK	15,3	
	27	Highest marginal tax rate, individual rate (%)	47,8	47,8	0	25	24	1	BG CZ LT RO SK	22,2	
	28		7,7	7,5	0,0	16	13	3	RO PL BG LV LT	-7,5	
	29	Net foreign assets (% GDP) *	-80,3	-95,2	-15,0	1	2	-1	LU NL CY BE DE	-19,5	
	30		12,4	12,9	0,5	25	26	-1	BE IT MT NL CY	-157,9	
>	31	Net financial liabilities, non financial corporations (% GDP)*	-97,6	-90,7	6,9	11	11	0	SK DE NL GR DK	30,4	
Pillar		Regulatory tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets *	11,2	13,0	1,8	19	15	4	EE BE MT LU RO	-1,9	
Д	33	Non performing loans to total gross loans	1,5	1,6	0,1	4	4	0	FI LU SE AT BE	-10,4	
	34	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,0	0,0	0,0	0	0	0	RO SK PL CZ LT	-51,7	
	35	Bank liabilities (%GDP) *	151,0	138,5	-12,5	10	9	1	RO SK PL LT BG	58	

Five best
Lowest third

- 1. Percentage points, when not indicated otherwise
- * 2009/ 2010 data ** 2008/ 2009 data

A methodological note can be found in the report or here.



PRIORITIES FOR REFORM IN 2012

	I. Policy Area	II. Concrete Recommendations	III. Are the proposed recommendations already in the agenda of your Government?	
			Yes	No
Priority 1	18	Government investments in public infrastructure should be increased to compensate for decades of neglect. This in particular goes for trunk roads, railways and public transportation services in major cities.	x	
Priority 2	13	Reduce the use of early retirement schemes. Take active steps to reduce sick leave and the growth in the number of recipients of disability pension.	x	
Priority 3	10	Reduce the use of early retirement schemes. Make it more beneficial for older workers to continue working, also in the public sector	х	
Priority 4	11	Wage growth should not exceed that of major trading partners.		x
Priority 5	3	Government should improve it's R&D incentive scheme for companies and also allow companies to deduct costs to it's own R&D when they arise, rather than over time.		х

Policy areas

- **1.** Business Environment Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship
- **2.** Business Dynamics Start-up conditions
- 3. R&D and Innovation
- **4.** ICT
- **5.** Competition policy framework
- **6.** Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy)
- **7.** Market integration Openness to trade and investment
- 8. Active labour market policies
- 9. Job protection
- **10.** Labour supply measures for specific groups (older workers, women...)
- **11.** Wage bargaining and wage-setting policies
- 12. Labour market mismatch and labour mobility
- **13.** Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit system
- 14. Pension and health care reforms
- **15.** Quality of fiscal institutions and budgetary framework
- **16.** Consolidation of public expenditures
- **17.** Public sector efficiency
- 18. Public investment
- **19.** Tax reforms
- 20. Access to bond markets
- 21. Access to equity markets
- 22. Venture capital and SME financing instruments
- **23.** Bank lending conditions
- **24.** Long term investment instruments



REFORM PROGRESS IN 2011

	I. Policy Area	II. Concrete Recommendations	III. Are the proposed recommendations already in the agenda of your Government?		How do you assess reform progress over the past year? (1 for excellent progress; 5 for no progress) *		Are these priorities properly identified in the National Reform Programme submitted by your governmnet in 2011?	
			Yes	No	Comments	Rank	Yes	No
Priority 1	18	Government investments in public infrastructure are much too low and should be increased. This in particular goes for trunk roads, railways and public transportation services in major cities.		х	Funds for public infrastructure investments and maintanance were increased somwhat in the 2012 National Budget, but the lag after decades of neglect is still huge.	3		
Priority 2	13	Increase zero rate income brackets for wage income to stimulate work incentives. Reduce the use of early retirement schems. Take active steps to reduce sick leave and the growth in the number of recipients of disability pension.				4		
Priority 3	10	Reduce the use of early retirement schemes. Make it more beneficial for older workers to continue working, also in the public sector.				5		
Priority 4	11	Wage growth should not exceed that of major trading partners.		х		5		
Priority 5	3	Government should improve it's R&D incentive scheme for companies and also allow companies to deduct costs to it's own R&D when they arise, rather than over time.		х		5		

^{*} Please see list of areas on page 2 "reform priorities for 2012"

*Rank of reform progress: 1. Excellent/ no further progress nedeed; 2. Satisfactory; 3. Mixed; 4. Unsatisfactory; 5. No progress



REFORM PROGRESS IN OVERALL POLICY AREAS 2011

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Mixed	Unsatisfactory	No progress
Productivity and investment			х		
International competitiveness				х	
Labour market		x			
Public finances	х				
Financial stability		x			



EUROPEAN SEMESTER

NOT APPLICABLE AS NORWAY IS NOT PART OF THE EU

1. Do you believe the European Semester will bring a real change to policy coordination in Europe?

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

2. Basing your analysis on the National Reform Programme submitted by your government, would you consider that it reveals a greater commitment from your country to this process?

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

3. Do you believe the National Reform Programme submitted by your government properly reflects the key challenges in your county?

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

4. The Commission's country specific recommendations for your country are:

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

5. Are the country-specific recommendations being properly implemented?

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

6. Are commitments concerning the euro plus pact properly addressed by your government in the NRP?

Υ	es, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all

7. Do you believe the Commission recommendations in this area to be appropriate?

Yes, absolutely	To a large extent	To some extent	Not enough	Not at all