



SPRING 2012 REFORM BAROMETER - BULGARIA

| | | | | Level | | | Rank | | Gap | | Areas of Action |
|-----------|----|--|--------|--------|--------|------|------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------------|
| | | | 2010 | 2011 | Change | 2010 | 2011 | change | EU top 5 | Gap 1 | |
| | 1 | GDP per capita (Purchasing Power Parity- PPP) | 10640 | 11113 | 4,4% | 32 | 32 | 0 | NL AT IE SE DK | -65% | |
| | 2 | GDP per capita (€ at 2000 prices) | 3518 | 3620 | 2,9% | 32 | 32 | 0 | DK IE SE NL AT | -90% | |
| | 3 | Labour productivity per hour worked (PPP) | 13,9 | 14,8 | 7,2% | 31 | 30 | 1 | NL BE FR IE DE | -67% | |
| | 4 | Labour productivity per person employed (PPP) | 22868 | 24486 | 7,1% | 32 | 32 | 0 | IE BE AT FR NL | -65% | |
| ar I | 5 | Private investment (excluding non residential, %GDP) | 2,9 | 2,9 | 0,0 | 27 | 28 | -1 | SK AT BE IT CZ | -5,5 | |
| Pillar | 6 | Industrial activity (% total value added) | 23,6 | 23,3 | -0,3 | 13 | 14 | -1 | SK CZ IE RO HU | -7,2 | |
| | 7 | R&D expenditure (% GDP) * | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,1 | 30 | 28 | 2 | FI SE DK DE AT | -2,6 | |
| | 8 | Operating surplus (% total value added) | 57,7 | 56,3 | -1,4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | BG GR RO LT IE | 1,2 | |
| | 9 | Current Account (% GDP) | -1,0 | 1,6 | 2,5 | 18 | 13 | 5 | SE DK NL LU DE | -4,2 | |
| _ | 10 | Export market share (gain from 2000) | 45,4 | 53,0 | 7,6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | LT RO HU BG CZ | -10,2 | |
| Pillar II | 11 | Net export contribution to GDP | 5,5 | 1,9 | -3,6 | 1 | 8 | -7 | PT IE GR SK HU | -1,2 | |
| Ē | 12 | Relative yearly gain in global trade share (% total trade) | -0,04 | 0,06 | 0,1 | 13 | 4 | 9 | EE LT LV BG CZ | -0,04 | |
| | 13 | ,5 | 24,1 | 30,2 | 6,1 | 25 | 28 | -3 | DE SE AT PL GR | 37,2 | |
| | 14 | High-tech exports (% total exports) ** | 3,6 | 4,6 | 1,0 | 30 | 29 | 1 | MT LU HU IE CY | -25,4 | |
| | 15 | Employment rate (% working age population) | 59,7 | 57,8 | -2,0 | 23 | 20 | 3 | AT NL LU DE CY | -12,6 | |
| | 16 | Employment rate 15-24 (% population 15-24) | 22,2 | 19,1 | -3,1 | 26 | 30 | -4 | NL DK AT DE UK | -34,2 | |
| ≡ | 17 | Employment rate 55-64 (% population 55-64) | 43,5 | 43,9 | 0,4 | 20 | 20 | 0 | SE DE DK UK FI | -16,8 | |
| Pillar | 18 | Annual hours worked (per capita) | 1651 | 1649 | -0,1% | 21 | 21 | 0 | PL PT CZ GR HU | -17% | |
| 귭 | 19 | Labour participation (% working age population) | 67,4 | 67,0 | -0,4 | 27 | 29 | -2 | SE DK NL PT DE | -12,8 | |
| | 20 | Working age population (as % total population) | 69,1 | 69,2 | 0,1 | 9 | 7 | 2 | SK PL CY LT RO | 1,0 | |
| | 21 | Unemployment rate (% labour force) | 9,8 | 9,1 | -0,7 | 23 | 20 | 3 | AT NL LU DK MT | 3,7 | |
| | 22 | Gross debt - general government (% GDP) | 16,3 | 17,5 | 1,2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | EE BG LU RO SE | -5,1 | |
| | 23 | Net debt - general government (% GDP)* | 4,0 | 2,3 | -1,6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | EE DK BG RO SE | -3,1 | |
| ≥ | 24 | Government budget balance (% GDP) | -3,1 | -2,5 | 0,7 | 8 | 9 | -1 | HU SE EE LU FI | -1,0 | |
| Pillar | 25 | | -2,5 | -1,7 | 0,8 | 14 | 15 | -1 | HU SE DE EE IT | -4,2 | |
| 귭 | 26 | Tax burden (% GDP) | 27,5 | 26,9 | 0,0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | BG LT LV RO SK | -1,0 | |
| | 27 | Highest marginal tax rate, individual rate (%) | 10,0 | 10,0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | BG CZ LT RO SK | 35 | |
| | 28 | Public investment (% total public expenditure) | 14,5 | 13,8 | 0,0 | 2 | 3 | -1 | RO PL BG LV LT | -1,2 | |
| | 29 | Net foreign assets (% GDP) * | 119,4 | 115,0 | -4,4 | 27 | 28 | -1 | LU NL CY BE DE | 190,8 | |
| | 30 | Net financial assets, households (% GDP) * | 60,9 | 65,9 | 5,0 | 20 | 19 | 1 | BE IT MT NL CY | -105,0 | |
| > | 31 | Net financial liabilities, non financial corporations (% GDP)* | -192,7 | -192,7 | 0,1 | 26 | 26 | 0 | SK DE NL GR DK | 132,3 | |
| Pillar | 32 | Regulatory tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets * | 14,0 | 14,0 | 0,0 | 6 | 10 | -4 | EE BE MT LU RO | -0,9 | |
| Д. | 33 | Non performing loans to total gross loans | 6,4 | 6,4 | 0,0 | 22 | 18 | 4 | FI LU SE AT BE | -5,5 | |
| | 34 | Loans to private sector (% GDP) * | 74,7 | 73,2 | -1,5 | 7 | 7 | 0 | RO SK PL CZ LT | 21,5 | |
| | 35 | Bank liabilities (%GDP) * | 108,9 | 97,4 | -11,4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | RO SK PL LT BG | 17 | |

Five best
Lowest third

- 1. Percentage points, when not indicated otherwise
- * 2009/ 2010 data ** 2008/ 2009 data

A methodological note can be found in the report or here.



PRIORITIES FOR REFORM IN 2012

| | I. Policy Area | II. Concrete Recommendations | III. Are the recomme already in to of your Go | endations the agenda |
|------------|----------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| | | | Yes | No |
| Priority 1 | 1 | Obligatory ex-ante and post-ante RIA for every draft law concerning the effects on the business. | х | |
| Priority 2 | 14 | "Cleaning" of POS payments are not related insurance contribution of individuals. Pensions and social benefits to be borne by the competent authorities and institutions. To create statutory restrictions on redistribution of funds within the "Pensions" Fund of Social Security in favor of pensions for early retirement. | x | |
| Priority 3 | 17 | Accelerated introduction of e-government. Development and outsourcing the maintenance of the Administrative services register. Introduction of e-procurement. | х | |
| Priority 4 | 3 | Education - Public financing system for preschool, primary and secondary education should be based solely on the number of children in appropriate school, regardless of his property. Criteria for granting a subsidy from the state budget for higher education should be only onethe country needs at present and near future of staff with specific professions and specialties prepared in accordance with state application. Create incentives for continuing education in degree "Doctor" as a prerequisite for serious development of science, research and innovation in Bulgaria. | x | |
| Priority 5 | 18 | The construction of local transportation corridors, incl. energy projects to be carried out through PPP. Maximum utilization of resources and initiative of private sector in projects of public importance - hospitals, ports, transport infrastructure, prisons and others - transport, social, educational and health infrastructure. | x | |

Policy areas

- **1.** Business Environment Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship
- 2. Business Dynamics Start-up conditions
- 3. R&D and Innovation
- **4.** ICT
- 5. Competition policy framework
- **6.** Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy)
- **7.** Market integration Openness to trade and investment
- 8. Active labour market policies
- 9. Job protection
- **10.** Labour supply measures for specific groups (older workers, women...)
- **11.** Wage bargaining and wage-setting policies
- 12. Labour market mismatch and labour mobility
- **13.** Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit system
- 14. Pension and health care reforms
- **15.** Quality of fiscal institutions and budgetary framework
- **16.** Consolidation of public expenditures
- **17.** Public sector efficiency
- **18.** Public investment
- 19. Tax reforms
- 20. Access to bond markets
- **21.** Access to equity markets
- 22. Venture capital and SME financing instruments
- 23. Bank lending conditions
- **24.** Long term investment instruments



EUROPEAN SEMESTER

1. Do you believe the European Semester will bring a real change to policy coordination in Europe?

| Yes, absolutely | To a large extent | To some extent | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | | | х | |

2. Basing your analysis on the National Reform Programme submitted by your government, would you consider that it reveals a greater commitment from your country to this process?

| Yes, absolutely | To a large extent | To some extent | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | | х | | |

3. Do you believe the National Reform Programme submitted by your government properly reflects the key challenges in your county?

| Yes, absolutely | es, absolutely To a large extent | | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|------------|------------|
| | | х | | |

4. The Commission's country specific recommendations for your country are:

| 1 | | 1 | | Excessively harsh |
|---|--|---|---|----------------------|
| | | | х | |

5. Are the country-specific recommendations being properly implemented?

| Yes, absolutely | To a large extent | To some extent | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | | | х | |

6. Are commitments concerning the euro plus pact properly addressed by your government in the NRP?

| Yes, absolutely | To a large extent | To some extent | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | | | х | |

7. Do you believe the Commission recommendations in this area to be appropriate?

| Yes, absolutely | To a large extent | To some extent | Not enough | Not at all |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | | х | | |