

12 September 2011

TRADE BARRIERS FACED BY THE **EU** INDUSTRY IN THE JAPANESE MARKET RESPONSES BY **BUSINESSEUROPE**

Note: This document focuses on horizontal issues, but does not address any sector-specific barriers

	Classification	Description of the measure	Impact of the	Comparison with	Possible solution (please describe	Level of
	(e.g. SPS, TBT, tariffs,	(details of the measure in	measure (please	EU system	possible solution that would be create	Priority (1
	rules of origin,	place; proof of measure e.g.	indicate if you can		a satisfactory situation for you)	= low; 2 =
	licensing,	Legislation; any steps	quantify the			medium; 3
	customs/trade	already undertaken by Japan	impact of the			= high)
	facilitation, services,	to alleviate this concern)	barrier on your			
	investment, IPR, public		industry)			
	procurement etc.)					
Ove	erall objectives				narket access is demonstrated for Europe	
		•			ajor barriers would demonstrate that Japa	
					umber of issues that cannot be covered in	
					n on raw materials. It is for that reason th	
		-			ructure like a high-level EU-Japan Econol	mic
		Partnership Council (EUJ-EPC	() to foster and deepe	en EU-Japan relations.		
					et, and that this openness not only exists	
					fore embarking on free-trade negotiations	
					se sectors of its economy. The scoping e	
					e achieved in a potential agreement. Any ion with the business community.	
		Hegotiations should be conduct 	ieu iii iuii iianspaien	cy and close cooperati	ion with the business community.	



Non-tariff measures (NTMs) / regulatory divergence	A mixture of divergent standards and certification processes, technical barriers to trade, SPS related barriers, lengthy and complex conformity assessment procedures, and others. Voluntary standards often are de-facto mandatory. The impact of attitudes, incl. the habitual buying behaviour, in the overall regulatory framework is another very important problem.	NTMs, regulatory divergence and different buying habits are the major obstacles to EU-Japan trade. The 2010 Copenhagen Economics study "Assessment of barriers to trade and investment between the EU and Japan" contains a large list of NTMs restricting market access.		Adoption of international product standards and cooperation / promotion of new international standards where needed. Mutually recognize products certified under similar and equivalent product standards. Drive regulatory convergence forward in highly regulated areas (e.g. transport, telecoms, health, financial regulation, industry). Aim at harmonizing regulations and systems where possible. Address issue of attitudes, incl. the habitual buying behaviour, by implementing targeted means (e.g. regulatory issue database projects / better communication).	
Tariffs	On average an applied rate of 4.9%, Japan has low tariff rates but some high peaks in e.g. dairy products, clothing, cereals, food and drink, leather, etc. This average is influenced by the annual temporary suspension of applied import duties that covers over 400 products. This duty suspension has to be renewed on a yearly basis by the Japanese Parliament.	Depending on the sector, these high tariffs effectively restrict access to the Japanese market. The yearly renewal of temporary import tariff suspensions creates huge business uncertainty.	The EU has also generally low tariffs, with some tariff peaks notably in the field of agriculture.	In case of non-reciprocal tariff liberalisation, market opening through tariff dismantling must be matched by respective NTB elimination. The business uncertainty derived from the yearly renewal of tariff import suspension should be addressed by permanently eliminating import duties for these covered EU products.	



1	Investment	Johan has a high dagras of	lanan has the	The Ellermanies	Include ambitious investment	
	Investment	Japan has a high degree of	Japan has the	The EU comprises		
		limits on foreign ownership,	lowest FDI stocks	the most open	provisions in any agreement with	
		screening requirements and	(as a percentage	countries. Since	Japan. Guarantee free market	
		restrictions on foreign	of GDP) of all	1992, intra-EU FDI	access, non-discrimination and	
		personnel and operational	OECD members.	flows are almost	national treatment, greater	
		freedom. For example,	It is among the	completely	transparency and full pre- and post-	
		Japanese regulations make	countries with the	unrestricted. A	investment protection, including free	
		investments / merger-	highest levels of	number of EU	transfer of all investment-related	
		acquisition very difficult.	overall	countries have	capital flows. Strong rules on	
		Major problems relate to the	restrictions.	minimal overt	protection from unfair treatment or	
		triangular merger scheme,	Therefore, the	restrictions on	unfair expropriation, provide a	
		prior approval requirements	potential to	inflows from non-	mechanism for investor-to-state	
		and M&A's in sensitive	increase FDI into	EU countries –	dispute settlement. Restrictions on	
		sectors. High labour costs	Japan is	although some	FDI only on the basis of national	
		and high taxes are also seen	enormous.	restrictions also	security.	
		major obstacles to		exist in some		
		investment.		countries.		
	Procurement	Although both GPA	Due to the	The EU's	Secure reciprocal and effective market	
		members, the Japanese	complex system	combination of	access with binding and simplified	
		legal framework remains	and discriminatory	international	rules, guaranteeing equal treatment of	
		difficult through its complex	treatment, it is	commitments and	foreign and domestic suppliers.	
		system of diverse statutes	extremely difficult	Single Market rules	Jointly increase efforts to improve	
		and regulations at central	for European	has created a	transparency, endorse simplification of	
		and local level. Also poor	companies to win	European market	complex procedures for becoming	
		dissemination of	public tenders in	that is widely open	registered as a "qualified supplier" and	
		procurement information and	Japan. Studies	to international	promote equal treatment and fair	
		absence of a single point of	have shown that	competition.	competition. Press for the	
		access. Not all prefectures	over 80% of	•	suppression of exemptions and	
		are subject to GPA rules. In	Japan's total		derogations of GPA rules, such as	
		addition, the situation is not	government		Japan's "operational safety clause" in	
		progressing. For instance, in	procurement		railway procurement. The objective	
		the rail sector, the issue is	market is not		must be to reach an "effective and	
		well known and has been	covered by GPA.		comparable market access".	



Services	raised at any relevant meetings or events with Japan. However, no concrete solutions have been proposed nor measures taken by Japan. There are a number of restrictions (like commercial presence) on several services sectors (e.g. legal, construction, banking, telecommunications). Residency requirements are in place for most of the professional services; making export services basically impossible. Lots of difficulties and long delays in obtaining work permits.	All these obligations to foreign services providers have an impact, since small and even large European providers will consider it too expensive / complicated to do business with Japan. For those which would do so, these obligations have an obvious impact on the price of the service delivered.	Compared to Japan, the European market for services is much more open to foreign suppliers and market access, and largely bound under WTO commitments. However, European private sector would support any further opening in Europe.	All these issues would have to be tackled in potential negotiations. The starting must be the current offers in the WTO Doha round, but both sides should aim to go much further in removing any regulatory barriers (WTO plus) which make daily business activities very complex.	
Trade facilitation	General border procedures (e.g. customs valuation, classification and clearance) and product-specific customs procedures (e.g. health inspections) impose costs and delays on some exporters.			An agreement should be based, as a minimum, on the WCO Revised Kyoto Convention and WTO Doha results. Provisions should be focused on minimisation and/or elimination of fees and charges; procedures for legal recourse and appeal, complaint or mediation services in the case of	



Intellectual property	Japan allows importation of	The described	disputes with customs; establishment of a single administrative window; and accelerated and simplified procedures for release and customs clearance of goods. Following the agreement on the mutual recognition, both side should give more concrete benefits to AEOs (Authorized Economic Operators): e.g. once an economic operator is approved as an AEO in Japan, its status should be extended to its subsidiaries in the EU, and vice versa. Japan needs to make all trade with	
rights	fake goods as long as they are for personal use. Accordingly, there is an inflow of counterfeit goods into the Japanese market.	factors unfortunately lead to quite a large trade in counterfeit goods	fake goods illegal and better cooperate with overseas authorities to secure the closure of sites trading in fake goods. Japan should also improve and simplify the procedure for right-holders to receive information on suspected merchandise. Enhanced cooperation among Patent Offices is key in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of work. The Patent Cooperation Treaty is the most appropriate platform for work-sharing.	
Competition policy	As discussed under investment, Japanese regulations make investments / mergeracquisition very difficult. Major problems relate to the triangular merger scheme,		Japan should, where appropriate, install meaningful systems to enforce competition policy. Disciplines should include basic principles of transparency, non-discrimination, government subsidies as well as commitments to tackle hard core	



	prior approval requirements and M&A's in sensitive sectors. Moreover, within each sector there are a handful of conglomerates which control most of the market, and which European companies are therefore dependent on.		international cartels.	
Transparency / better regulation		The EU strongly encourages adherence to a certain number of procedural safeguards designed to ensure transparency, objectivity and administrative efficiency in decision-making. These provide companies with predictability and ensure that decisions are neither arbitrary nor abusive.	Support to similar principles of transparency, objectivity, better regulation and administrative efficiency, including deadlines for decisions and objective justification for these decisions. Pro-actively increase mutual understanding of existing and upcoming regulations on each side to exclude unwittingly taking initiatives that create barriers to trade. Exchange annual legislative work programmes at the earliest stage to prevent regulatory divergence and agree to an early warning system for draft legislation.	
Dispute settlement	The WTO system should remain the main avenue for solving disputes. However, a mechanism should be established to deal with		A binding and effective bilateral dispute settlement mechanism with clear cut deadlines. This should be set up in analogy to the WTO mechanism or the mechanism enshrined in the	



complaints in case of	FTA between the EU and Korea.	
violations of the bilateral	Companies should have direct access.	
agreement.		