

# How can standardization strengthen competitiveness

Paul Coebergh van den Braak
BUSINESSEUROPE, chairman of WG
Internal Market – Free Movement of Goods
Philips IP&S

P. Coebergh van den Braak September 2010



# Standards & competition – a good couple?

#### Competitiveness

- Differentiate; or: Be better at the same
- Condition for a sustainable business
- A dynamic scene frequently requires innovation



- New ways to generate and / or capture value
- Knowledge is an asset
- Driven by opportunity to capture a return on investment

#### Standardization

- Pre-competitive collaboration
- Sharing knowledge, aligning ways to do things
- Foster uniformity by making choices and eliminating alternatives





#### Past evidence suggests a fine marriage

#### Tremendous value

WiFi wireless Internet access



- GSM cell phones
- CD, DVD, Blu-ray



- Metrical units: metre, litre, volt, ...
- Car controls symbols



- Nuts and bolts (metric screw thread: ..., M6, M7, ...)
- Bicycle and car tires: sizes and their notation
- Digital photo formats (.jpg)
- Built-in kitchen gear dimensions
- Sea containers



#### **Unused potential**

- Power systems, plugs and sockets (need an adapter plug on travel)
- Electronic equipment chargers (how many discards at home?)
- Railway width (a daily burden at borders, like France – Spain)
- Road side conventions (car design and supply chain – mirror images)













# Innovation comes in many flavours

#### Domain

- Process how does a company do things
- Marketing and sales
- Technology Manufacture, Product, Tools, ...

#### Minimally required collaboration

- One (paper clip, post-it sticky notes)
- Few (Car: ABS, air bag)
- Broad community / "eco-system" (Internet, smart grid, containers)

#### Nature

- Micro steps / evolutionary
- Technology waves
- Break-through
- Phases progress along the time line







# Options for co-operation and alignment

- In-company only
- Small group of complementing partners
- Explicit standardization
  - Formal standards organization: international, regional, national
  - Consortium: small, broad
  - Ad-hoc / one-off versus ongoing
- De-facto standardization
  - Creating a non-ignorable reality in the market place
  - Leadership based: technology, brand, knowledge, market share
  - Can be deliberate or evolve spontaneously
- What is best?
  - It depends: on type of innovation, industry, ...





# How do standards help for all?

#### Encourage investment

- Reduced complexity and uncertainty
- Economy of scale
- Shared development efforts

#### Encourage demand

- Reduced complexity and uncertainty
- Broader choice at lower cost
- No vendor lock-in

#### Broaden choice

- Enable multi-vendor solutions by interoperability
- Create horizontal markets for enabling elements
- Redirect innovation efforts to value adding differentiators







# What about the standardization process?

- Speeds up development and innovation
  - Sharing knowledge
  - Sharing tools, common elements
- Optimizes outcomes and returns on investment
  - Confronting competing options early and explicitly
  - Multi-stakeholder comparison of alternatives
  - Discouraging prolonged investments in low-momentum options
- Helps create a healthy industry structure
  - Negotiation is inherent to the process
    - → ensures there is something in it for all types of players
  - Tests and logo's to underpin interoperability promise
  - Bandwagon effect, often supported by active PR / branding





# Where's the competitive advantage?

- Many flavours! Depends intimately on
  - Industry structure
  - Nature of the innovation / standardization
  - Business model and strategy
- Often a meaningful market proposition can only be supported jointly by many sorts of players ("eco-system")



- Complex symbiosis of competitors, complementers, ...
- Technology, applications, infrastructure and market intimacy are equally indispensible
- No returns without rivalry, but no business without collaboration
- Fierce competition between similar players
- Fierce power balance games between complementors





# Typical strategies related to standards

- Price / performance leader in high volume horizontal markets
- Innovation leader: winning applications or services on top of commodities
- Niche player: differentiate on top of the standard, serving selected sub-segments
- Infrastructure provider: control an infrastructure and provide services on top of it
- Technology provider: perform R&D, collect license fees
- Typically most of these co-exist to the benefit of all
  - Though competition always creates winners and losers
  - And any strategy requires control points to succeed





# Challenges to make it work

- Initially orchestrate purpose and action (In the beginning there is chaos...)
  - Rivalry in the industry
  - Rivalry between regions and nations
  - Competing initiatives
  - Narrow sight
- Maximize the pie (value creation)
  - Create meaningful solutions and supportive momentum: attract and focus knowledge, resources, technology; bridge gaps
- Share the pie (fair value distribution)
  - Relative powers of players
  - Legal framework for horizontal cooperation, standardization
  - Industry politics region, nation







#### **Boundary conditions for success**

- Companies must use standardization as a tool of business and innovation, not as a goal or routine
  - Start from business objectives and strategy
  - Work towards a viable market proposition
  - Identify financial return model at an early stage
  - Engage all player types needed in the value chain, with fair revenue opportunity for all
  - Match standardization mode to purpose: one size does not fit all
- Public authorities must **not** unduly steer or restrict the freedom to standardize in suitable ways, e.g. by
  - Overly strict application of competition law
  - Steering choice of standards organization or process
  - Limiting choice of contractual modes for technology sharing





# What can public authorities do?

- In support of initial orchestration of purpose and action
  - Organize workshops, round tables; create white papers
  - Align regional industry and innovation spearheads
  - Leverage demand side effect of public procurement
- In support of maximizing the pie (value creation)
  - Set clear industry and innovation priorities
  - Provide matching support (subsidies, ...)
  - Allow and enable various standardization bodies and processes
  - Encourage standardization (education, infrastructure support, ...)
- In support of sharing the pie (fair value distribution)
  - Ensure level playing field
  - Ensure fair outlooks on reward for investment for all
     ⇒ E.g. IPR regime with up-front declaration of FRAND terms to balance needs of technology providers versus implementers





# Thank you for your attention