



SPRING 2010 REFORM BAROMETER - HUNGARY

1. SUMMARY TABLE

| | | | | Rank among 29 ** | | Relative Gap | | |
|---|-------|-------|--------|------------------|------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| <u>Hungary</u> | 2008 | 2009 | Change | 2008 | 2009 | Change | EU 5 top performers 2009 | Gap to EU 5 top performers 2009 |
| GDP per capita (Purchasing Power Parity - PPP) | 15729 | 14527 | -7.6% | 24 | 23 | 1 | LU, IE, NL, AT, BE | -57% |
| GDP per capita (€ at 2000 prices) | 6647 | 6223 | -6.4% | 25 | 25 | 0 | LU, DK, SE, IE, UK | -82% |
| Labour Utilisation (Annual hours worked per capita) | 826 | 802 | -2.9% | 11 | 7 | 4 | CY, SI, GR, CZ, PL | -9% |
| Annual hours worked per person employed | 2117 | 2117 | 0.0% | 2 | 2 | 0 | GR, HU, PL, SI, CZ | 5% |
| Employment as % of labour force (100-unemployment rate) | 92.2 | 89.5 | -2.7 | 26 | 23 | 3 | NL, DK, AT, CY, LU | -6 |
| Labour participation (labour force as % of active population) | 61.5 | 61.6 | 0.1 | 28 | 28 | 0 | NL, DK, SE, DE, UK | -21 |
| Dependency ratio (working age population as % of total population) | 68.8 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | SK, PL, CY, CZ, RO | -3 |
| Labour Productivity (GDP per hour worked, in PPP) | 19.2 | 18.3 | -4.9% | 23 | 23 | 0 | LU, BE, NL, FR, DE | -58% |
| Labour Productivity (GDP per hour worked, € at 2000 prices) | 8.1 | 7.8 | -3.6% | 24 | 25 | -1 | LU, SE, BE, DK, FR | -83% |
| Capital deepening (capital stock per hour worked)* | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | IE, FR, LU, AT, SE | N/A |
| Total Factor Productivity (level of economic efficiency per hour worked)* | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | LU, UK, DK, SE, BE | N/A |
| Corporate Investment (private investment excluding non-residential, % GDP) | 10.9 | 9.9 | -1.0 | 21 | 14 | 7 | BE, RO, AT, DK, SK | -3.2 |
| Current Account Balance (as % GDP) | -6.6 | -1.3 | 5.3 | 19 | 15 | 4 | LU, SE, LV, DE, EE | -7.7 |
| Export market share measured in volume relative to main 35 trading partners (from 2000) | 46.3 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | RO, LT, HU, CZ, PL | -8.3 |
| Labour Costs relative to main 35 trading partners (from 2000) | 33.4 | 31.3 | -2.0 | 26 | 25 | 1 | DE, PL, AT, SE, GR | 38.4 |
| Public Debt (as % GDP) | 72.9 | 79.1 | 6.2 | 26 | 26 | 0 | EE, LU, BG, RO, LT | 61.2 |
| Net lending/net borrowing of general government (as % GDP) *1 | -3.8 | -4.1 | -0.3 | 22 | 11 | 11 | BG, DK, SE, LU, FI | -2.1 |
| Required budgetary adjustment related to ageing (%GDP) | N/A | 0.4 | N/A | N/A | 3 | N/A | PL, EE, HU, BG, SE | 0.3 |
| Public Investment (as % total public expenditure) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.1 | 17 | 18 | -1 | BG, RO, EE, CZ, PL | -10.0 |
| Tax burden (as % GDP) | 40.4 | 39.8 | -0.6 | 21 | 20 | 1 | LV, RO, IE, SK, LT | 12.0 |

Note: Labour utilisation and productivity are a product of its sub-components.

^{*} Rank refers to EU15 ** EU 27, Norway and Switzerland

^{*1} Since 2006 continous decreasing due to tight fiscal policy. Recent downturn caused by GDP fall.





2. PRIORITIES FOR REFORM: ANSWERS FROM MGYOSZ (HUNGARY)

| | 1. Priority areas | 2. Concrete Recommendations | 3. Are the proposed recommendations already in the agenda of your Government? | | | |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | Yes | No | | |
| Priority 1 | Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit system | Structural reform of national pension system towards self- sustainable pension scheme. Implementation of NCD to encourage selfprovidency | | х | | |
| Priority 2 | Labour taxation to stimulate labour demand | Overall reform of tax system for simplifying taxations; Raising the limit of lowest tax rate to 15 m HUF by 2011. Whereas 95% of the employees would pay quasi a flat tax system; Rearranging overall tax rates; decreasing tax rates and affixes on labour while increasing the rates on private property (property, car, etc) | х | Overall simplifying still needs to be done | | |
| Priority 3 | Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy) | Overall implementation and support of national sectoral strategies to take advantage of existing competitive advantages (e.g. Logistics, IT, pharmaceutical industry, biotech, automotive industry) | х | | | |
| Priority 4 | Orientation and sustainability of public finances | Leaning municipality sector. Streamlining the multi-level municipality system: reinforcing the regional local-governments (corresponds to NUTS-2; 7); reducing the number of the 3170 communal local governments, by pooling of competences. | | х | | |
| Priority 5 | Financial markets and access to finance | Introducing a "code of conduct" for banks for transparent pragmatics in company financing. | | х | | |