







Hon. Alison Brimelow President European Patent Office

Hon. Takashi Suzuki Commissioner Japan Patent Office

Hon. John Doll Acting Undersecretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director United States Patent and Trademark Office

June 26, 2009

Dear Trilateral Office Heads,

Organizations representing intellectual property interests of industry in the regions of the Trilateral Patent Offices agreed at their first meeting in Nice, France, in 2003, to form an "Industry Trilateral" to cooperate in developing and expressing a coordinated view of industry on intellectual property matters.

The Industry Trilateral has agreed to the attached Resolution that recommends adoption of a common citation document. The Resolution also recommends that the Trilateral Offices should encourage other National Offices and international organizations to adopt the same common citation document. Further, it is recommended that such common citation document should be based on a format outlined in the Industry Trilateral document entitled "Common Citation Document Proposal", a copy of which is attached, together with an Annex.

We commend your consideration of the attached Resolution, Proposal and Annex.

Philippe de Buck

BUSINESSEUROPE Director General

Tsuneaki Hagiwara President of JIPA

Steven W. Miller President of IPO

Teresa Stanek Rea President of AIPLA

Attachments (3)









RESOLUTION

Common Citation Document

On behalf of the Industry Trilateral -- AIPLA, IPO, BusinessEurope, and JIPA -- we would like to express our deep appreciation to the Trilateral Offices for their effort creating the common application format, because such uniform format could dramatically reduce application costs for obtaining patents from multiple Offices. The Industry Trilateral wishes to continuously expand the principle of a uniform format beyond the application specification, and believes a common format for search reports – both from applicants and from the Trilateral Offices -- may improve the harmonization of search reporting and the recording of relevant art.

In the interests of harmonization and efficiency it is resolved that the Trilateral Offices should adopt a common citation document to be used by each Office by means of which all art citations by that Office, including art cited in official search reports, office actions, information disclosure statements, and art submissions by the applicant and/or third parties, can be recorded in a common format with a view to facilitating the efficient access to and reuse of search and disclosure efforts for related applications.

It is resolved that the Trilateral Offices/WIPO should develop a single repository of citation information for each patent family as a whole, using the Common Citation Document.

It is resolved that the Trilateral Offices in turn should encourage other National Patent Offices and international organizations to adopt the same Common Citation Document.

It is resolved that such Common Citation Document should be based on PCT form ISA/210, an example of a suitable format being outlined in the Industry Trilateral document entitled "Common Citation Document Proposal"

26 June 2009

Common Citation Document Proposal

Objectives and Advantages

There are various stages in the patent application process during which information about art documents of possible relevance to the patent application in question become available. In particular, prior art may be identified by the applicant before filing or during prosecution, and may be identified by an official search. For a particular international family of patent applications various iterations of each of these stages may occur before each national or regional office, or during the PCT process, all relating to essentially the same invention, each of which takes a different form such that earlier efforts are difficult to reuse, and may often be duplicated. As part of a broader effort to harmonise patent processing and reduce duplication of effort, the present proposal puts forward a Common Citation Document, which the Industry Trilateral proposes should be used by all patent offices and applicants, in all circumstances concerning the recordal of documents, such as those mentioned above. By adopting such a Common Citation Document, the efficient access to and reuse of search and disclosure efforts for earlier related applications is facilitated. Still further, a single repository of citation information for a patent family as a whole, to which a variety of protagonists may add as the patent family develops can be envisaged.

At the November 2005 Trilateral pre-conference, the United States Patent and Trademark Office presented a search sharing proposal ("Triway") that would leverage the searching expertise of each of the Trilateral Offices to the benefits of both applicants and the offices. The Trilateral Offices agreed at the November 2007 trilateral pre-conference to undertake a limited pilot program to commence on July 28, 2008. The present Common Citation Document proposal complements and enhances the search sharing proposal by ensuring that citations from each office are available in a standardised form easily recognizable by the examiners of multiple offices. Meanwhile, the Triway approach of reusing and building upon the work of other offices reflects the "single repository" concept presented herein.

Implementation Details

A proposed Common Citation Document has been drawn up with official search reports, US Information Disclosure Statements and EPO post filing acknowledgement of the prior art in mind.

A version of such proposed Common Citation Document is attached as Annex 1. It would be used differently depending on which of the three roles identified above it was to fulfil at any given time:

- The document is based on the PCT International Search Report form, and as such would be easily adopted for PCT and EPO searches
- For US IDS purposes, one or more citation documents resulting either from official searches carried out for earlier applications, or filled in by the applicant to disclose other documents, might be gathered together and submitted by a suitable covering letter. The applicant would not be required to attribute a category to the citations, or provide any other information beyond that required by the relevant legal provisions.

• In the case of the acknowledgement of prior art in a European Patent application, it is suggested that the citation document or documents be filed as annexes to the specification. This could be done by including in the specification the phrase "prior art documents are acknowledged in appendix 1". This approach is in line with the Industry Trilateral's recommendation that applicants should not be obliged to amend the description text of an application to acknowledge prior art documents identified during the application procedure.

The suggestion is that a family of patents will gather a number of citation documents as the individual family members progress, which will lead to the formation of a contiguous series of documents listing all art relevant to the family as a whole. The "Index" field might permit each such document to be consecutively numbered so that the series could easily be constituted as required.

Several fields are only required for official search reports, so an abbreviated version might be envisaged, in which case the need for the shading of the zones required only for official search reports in the general purpose document attached would be reduced.

It is further proposed that a standard Common Citation Document specification be established, defining not only the format of the document itself, but also standard typesetting requirements and a set of text tags or symbols to facilitate the electronic capture of information from Common Citation Documents in accordance with the present proposal.

26 June 2009



COMMON CITATION DOCUMENT

			ndex		Application no.	plication no.	
			Consecutive numbering of itation documents for the				
	acknowledgement o		atent family				
A. CLASSIFIC	CATION OF SUBJE	CT MATTER					
		Classification (IPC) or to both na	itional classification and IPI				
B. FIELDS SI							
Minimum Doo	cumentation Search	ed (Classification system follower	d by classification symbols)			
Documentation	on searched other th	an minimum documentation to the	ne extent that such docume	ents are included in	the fields searched		
Electronic da	ta base consulted di	uring the search (name of data ba	ase and, where practical, s	earch terms used)			
IDS only Examiner initials*	Category*	C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDE Citation of document, with in		e of the relevant pa	ssages Voluntary	Relevant to	
					comments attached	claim No.	
						7/////	
		T Firsthan danimanta an	e listed in the continuation	et Day C	See patent family anne		
		Further documents an	e listed in the continuation	OI BOX C L	See paterit family arme.	x	
	D. Claims not se	arched					
	* Special estagarties of citad documents :						
	* Special categories of cited documents : "T" later document published after the filing date or						
	"A" document defining the general state of the art priority date and not in conflict with the application which is not considered to be of particular but cited to understand the principle or theory						
	relevance underlying the invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the filing "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed						
	date invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim considered to involve an inventive step when the						
	date of anoth	s cited to establish the publicatio er citation or other special reaso	n (as "Y" do		ar relevance: the claimed		
	specified) invention cannot be considered to involve an "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, incentive step when the document is combined						
	exhibition or "P" document pu	other means Iblished prior to the filing date bu			uch documents, such obvious to a person skille	ed in the	
		rity date claimed	8	ırt.	of the same patent family		
	ctual completion of to	he search (document type "e" or	filing of Date of ma	Date of mailing of the search report (document type "e")			
assument (de	comonttype u U	• ,					
Name and ma	ailing address of the	official Search Authority	Authorised	officer			