

Conclusions and proposals for improvement

Seminar on « Enforcement in the Internal Market »

Jesús Banegas 20 June 2007



Conclusions from the debate

- Enforcement is key and must be a priority in the EU Internal Market Policy
- Problems exist with mutual recognition, market surveillance, administrative cooperation, information, access to redress
- Problems create high costs and undermines citizens and companies' confidence
- Enforcement needs to be improved
- Shared responsibility between the EU Commission and Member States but it is at national level where most problems arise





Proposals for improvement

- 1 Ensuring timely and correct transposition and administrative implementation of Community legislation including administrative simplification
- Stepping up Member States' efforts and resources to fulfil their enforcement responsibilities through effective and homogeneous market surveillance, efficient external border control and correct application of the mutual recognition principle
- 3 Providing more information, facts and figures on national compliance with and enforcement of Internal Market Legislation
- 4 Creating a greater partnership and cooperation between the Commission and Member States
- Improving cooperation and mutual assistance among Member States
- 6 Ensuring easier access to both EU and national means for asserting Internal Market rights including promotion and reinforcement of Solvit and speedier and more efficient infringement procedures
- Better positioning of the Internal Market in the global context through promotion of EU standards





THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

All presentations and information about the seminar will be available at:

www.businesseurope.eu

